



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,171	08/30/2001	Howard J. Smith	476-2051	3257
7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson			FILE, ERIN M	
P.O. Box 2786				
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3,			2634	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/945,171 SMITH E		ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erin M. File	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this candoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ıne 2005.					
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under E		·				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 August 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examine	er.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	receivea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		<b></b> ,			

Application/Control Number: 09/945,171 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wessel and in further view of Olive.

Claims 1, 7, 11, 13, and 14, Wessel et al shows and describes a feedback loop system that detects' phase and gain errors for better predistortion adaptation (column 10, Lines 5-16). Wessel fails to disclose switch means which alternately couples a first and second detector means to the input and output of said amplifier, however, Olive discloses a structure in which two detectors (fig. 1, 15, 17) are selectively chosen by a switch (16) for input to a differential amplifier (18). Olive further discloses the use of modulators (20) and a rectifier (21) in order to correct for errors. The use of selection in an input signal allows the amplifier to choose which signal is stronger and more prepared for amplification and would therefore be obvcious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Olive's selective detecting means into Wessel's invention.

Art Unit: 2634

Claim 2, Wessel describes the use of a difference signal (618) in the feedback loop.

Claim 4, Wessel describes the use of a DSP for error detection in the loop (col. 7, lines 59-65).

Claim 5, the applicant admits that the use a hybrid circuit for phase correction or adjustment is prior art (p. 8, lines 15-19).

Claims 6, 8, and 12, Wessel describes the use averaging the error along the closed loop (col. 11, line 49 – col. 12, line 35).

Claim 9, Wessel describes the use of adaptive predistortion by using the results from a comparator (col. 7, lines 34-65).

- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wessel and Olive and in further view of Sahlman.
- Claim 3, Sahlman discloses a DC offset applied to the output of a detector (paragraph [0050]) The use of a direct current offset to compensate for errors in a signal is well known in the art and would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate this offset into the combined teachings of Wessel and Olive.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,171

Art Unit: 2634

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

9/15/2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4